

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,774	01/18/2001	Joseph M. Cannon	CANNON 115-104	5953
7590 01/14/2005			EXAMINER	
WILLIAM H. BOLLMAN			TRAN, TUAN A	
MANELLI DEN	NISON & SELTER PLLC	•	<u> </u>	
2000 M STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307			2682	

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/761,774	CANNON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan A Tran	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc	ctober 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	* **					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	Δ <b></b>	(DTO, 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2682

p3 "

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-14 and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Croft et al. (6,490,439) in view of Gendel et al. (6,127,936).

Regarding claims 16 and 23, Croft discloses an apparatus for optimizing link quality of a wireless piconet device to a user comprising: means for firstly determining a link quality of a wireless connection to a short range network; and means for providing a first indication of the link quality to the user (See figs. 8-11 and col. 8 line 57 to col. 9 line 2, col. 9 line 61 to col. 10 line 3). However, Croft does not mention that the link quality is at acceptable level determined by comparing the link quality and a minimum link quality threshold. Gendel teaches an apparatus for providing an indication of the magnitude of a quality wherein the acceptable link quality, determined by comparing the link quality and a minimum link quality threshold, is visually indicated to user (See fig. 1-3 and col. 4 line 54 to col. 7 line 4). Since both Croft and Gendel teach about apparatuses that are capable of providing visual indication that conveys information to a user such as signal quality; therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the Gendel's teachings in modifying the apparatus as disclosed by Croft by configuring the apparatus to provide

Art Unit: 2682

indication of the acceptable link quality to the user for the advantage of indicating a valid reception location to the users so they can take further actions.

Claims 7 and 14 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 16 and 23, as method.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 16 and 23.

Regarding claim 17, Croft & Gendel disclose as cited in claim 16. Croft further discloses the apparatus varies visual indication according to the received signal strength (See fig. 11 and col. 9 line 61 to col. 10 line 3), and the received signal strength varies dependent upon locations of the receiving wireless piconet device; therefore the apparatus inherently comprises means for allowing the user to physically move the wireless piconet device; means for secondly determining the acceptable level of the at least one aspect of the link quality; and means for providing a second indication of compliance to the acceptable level of at least one aspect of the link quality to the user.

Claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claim 17, as method.

Regarding claims 18-19, Croft & Gendel disclose as cited in claim 16. Croft further discloses the apparatus comprises: a processor coupled to the transceiver, the processor adapted to vary the visual indication; and a memory unit coupled to the processor, the memory unit for storing instructions executed by the processor for varying the visual indication (See fig. 9 and col. 12 lines 28-35). Therefore the apparatus inherently comprises means for generating a Read\_RSSI command or a

Art Unit: 2682

Get\_Link\_Quality command (command for measuring the signal strength) as well as means for retrieving a link quality value returned in response to the command.

Claims 9-10 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 18-19, as method.

Regarding claim 20-21, Croft further discloses the wireless connection is a piconet connection or a scatternet connection (See fig. 8).

Claims 11-12 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 20-21, as method.

Regarding claim 2, Croft & Gendel disclose as cited in claim 1. Croft further discloses the piconet front end conforms to Bluetooth standards. (See figs. 8-9 and col. 8 line 5 to col. 9 line 2).

Regarding claim 5, Croft further discloses the visible user link quality indicator comprises an LED (See col. 10 lines 4-12).

Regarding claim 22, Croft & Gendel disclose as cited in claim 16. Gendel further discloses the indication can be audible (See fig. 2 and col. 6 lines 7-15).

Claims 13 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claim 22, as method.

Claim 3 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claim 22.

Regarding claim 6, Croft & Gendel disclose as cited in claim 4. However, Croft & Gendel do not mention that the visible user link quality indicator comprises a graphical display. Graphical display is common in the art, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use graphical

Art Unit: 2682

display for the advantage of expanding the capability of the system to various types of display.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 and 16-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tuan Tran** whose telephone number is **(703) 605-4255**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached at (703) 308-6739.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2682

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Tuan Tran

AU 2682

LEE NGUYEN

RIMARY EXAMINER